1	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP KAREN G. JOHNSON-MCKEWAN (SBN 121570)	
2	kjohnson-mckewan@orrick.com	3,0)
3	ANNETTE L. HURST (SBN 148738) ahurst@orrick.com	
4	GABRĪEL M. RAMSEY (SBN 209218) gramsey@orrick.com	
_	405 Howard Street, San Francisco, CA 94105	
5	Tel: 1.415.773.5700 / Fax: 1.415.773.5759 PETER A. BICKS (pro hac vice)	
6	pbicks@orrick.com LISA T. SIMPSON (pro hac vice)	
7	lsimpson@orrick.com	
8	51 West 52 nd Street, New York, NY 10019 Tel: 1.212.506.5000 / Fax: 1.212.506.5151	
9	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP	
	DAVID BOIES (pro hac vice) dboies@bsfllp.com	
10	333 Main Street, Armonk, NY 10504 Tel: 1.914.749.8200 / Fax: 1.914.749.8300	
11	STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (SBN 144177)	
12	sholtzman@bsfllp.com 1999 Harrison St., Ste. 900, Oakland, CA 94612	
13	Tel: 1.510.874.1000 / Fax: 1.510.874.1460	
4	ORACLE CORPORATION DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)	
	dorian.daley@oracle.com	
15	DEBORAH K. MILLER (SBN 95527) deborah.miller@oracle.com	
16	MATTHEW M. SARBORARIA (SBN 211600) matthew.sarboraria@oracle.com	
17	RUCHIKA AGRAWAL (SBN 246058)	
8	ruchika.agrawal@oracle.com 500 Oracle Parkway,	
9	Redwood City, CA 94065	
	Tel: 650.506.5200 / Fax: 650.506.7117	
20	Attorneys for Plaintiff ORACLE AMERICA, INC.	
21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
22	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
23	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
24	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.	Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA
25	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF ANDREW
	v. GOOGLE INC.	TEMKIN IN SUPPORT OF GOOGLE'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
6	Defendant.	SEAL, ECF NO. 1583
27	Dominant.	Dept.: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor Judge: Honorable William H. Alsup
28		

2 3

4

5 6

8 9

7

10 11

12

13 14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

I, Andrew Temkin, declare and state as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney employed by Oracle America, Inc. ("Oracle"). My current title is Senior Corporate Counsel. One of my responsibilities is supervising Oracle's outside counsel with respect to litigation matters, including Oracle's lawsuit against Google. I am familiar with Oracle's policies and business practices, including what information Oracle considers confidential and does not disclose to the public.
- 2. I submit this declaration pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5 in connection with Google's Administrative Motion to File Under Seal, ECF No. 1583. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called upon as a witness, I could testify to them competently under oath.
- 3. Google has submitted Dr. Kearl's Corrected March 18, 2016 Report in connection with its Motion to Strike Dr. Kearl's Report, see ECF No. 1583-6. As set forth in my declaration of March 28, 2016 (ECF No. 1577), Dr. Kearl's Corrected Report contains confidential financial information constituting Oracle's Designated Material under the Protective Order. The last two sentences of paragraph 92 of Dr. Kearl's report reflect actual and projected Java ME revenues in 2015. Oracle's annual revenue information for specific lines of Java business is confidential and has always been treated as such. Oracle does not disclose annual revenue information for Java ME to the public and restricts knowledge of this information within Oracle to a subset of persons who need the information for their business operations. Disclosure to the public and to Oracle's competitors of recent annual revenue information could harm Oracle by giving competitors insight into competitive information that would otherwise remain confidential. Similarly, Dr. Kearl's projection for 2015 Java ME revenue, if disclosed to the public, would permit competitors to calculate actual Java ME revenues. Accordingly, Oracle requests that actual and projected Java ME revenues reflected in paragraph 92 remain under seal. Oracle submits that the risk of competitive harm that would be created by public disclosure of this information amounts to a compelling reason to grant Oracle the narrow sealing order requested herein.
- 4. Exhibit 6 to Dr. Kearl's report reflects actual and projected Java ME licensing revenue for each year from 2009 to 2015. For the reasons discussed above, Oracle requests that

Case 3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document 1640 Filed 04/12/16 Page 3 of 3

such data remain under seal for the years 2014 and 2015. In addition, Exhibit 6 reflects data regarding incremental expenses for Oracle's Java ME business. This information is confidential and has always been treated as such. Oracle does not disclose incremental expenses for Java ME to the public and restricts knowledge of this information within Oracle to a subset of persons who need the information for their business operations. Disclosure to the public and to Oracle's competitors of this information could harm Oracle by giving competitors insight into competitive information that would otherwise remain confidential. Accordingly, Oracle requests that incremental expense information for 2014 and 2015 remain under seal. Oracle submits that the risk of competitive harm that would be created by public disclosure of this information amounts to a compelling reason to grant Oracle the narrow sealing order requested herein.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed this 12th day of April, 2016, at San Francisco, CA.

Andrew Temkin